Journel of AI and Machine Learning
Volume 1, Issue 1

Ethical Implications and Bias in AI-Driven Wealth Management: A Review of Robo-Advisory Services

[1]*Raed Elomari
[1]MetLife Gulf, Dubai, United Arab Emirates

Abstract

This review aims to examine the amount of ethical bias that is associated with the ambiguous aspect of the AI and particularly the robo-advisory services in wealth management. Since these platforms are gradually becoming involved in consumers' financial decisions, it becomes important to interpret the possible bias such as algorithm, thinking, learning, and systemic bias to affect users and the outcomes of these platforms. Main ethical considerations discussed in the study are derived from the literature review which includes the main ethical issues connected with robo-advisors. Using the system ontology and postmodern epistemology, the study addresses the issue of multiple biases and their effects on specific demographic populations. In addition, it defines a set of measures to address those biases and pragmatic approaches enhance the ethical approaches in the AI design, regulation and the education of consumers. At the end of the study, the recommendations of how future studies can be done to improve the way artificial intelligence is implemented responsibly in financial services is provided.

Keywords: Ethical AI, Algorithmic Bias, Robo-Advisory Services, Financial Inclusion, User Trust, Intersectionality.

Introduction

In the financial sector, the invention of artificial intelligence leads to enormous change and dynamic features are being introduced in the market areas; one of them is robo-advisory platforms in wealth management [1]. More recently, robo-advisors have entrance to the digital marketplace as advanced algorithms' incorporated mechanism alongside with machine learning to offer advisory services of financial nature [2]. The emergence is capturing broader status in technology advancement, due to enhanced digital literacy, augmented use of technology and standards expectations in handling their financial decision making.

It is crucial to note that the topic is focused both on the ethical aspect of artificial intelligence as well as the banking industry. However, because these systems play more an important role in crucial decision of financing, it becomes important to be aware of the bias they possess and the ethical issues that come with them. For instance, Haider et al., (2024), establish that these algorithms serve to entrench bias on pre-existing SES disparities, which underscores why it is necessary to explain such a system in detail to achieve better and more equal financial services [3].

This study is expected to present a critical evaluation of the ethical issues associated with robo-advisory services based on the realization that technology is social, cultural and organizational construct. Therefore, through adopting an interpretivist epistemological stance, the research aims to explore the relationships between the AI technologies and the human feelings and prejudice in wealth management. The study systematically investigates five key research questions:

RQ1 What are the primary ethical challenges associated with AI-driven wealth management services?

RQ2 How do biases manifest in robo-advisory systems, particularly intersectional biases involving socioeconomic, racial, and gender dimensions?

RQ3 What is the impact of these biases on users, especially marginalized demographic groups?

RQ4 What frameworks or methodologies can be employed to identify and mitigate biases in financial AI systems?

Raed Elomari
[1]MetLife Gulf, Dubai, United Arab Emirates
Corresponding Author Email: dr.raed_elomari@outlook.com

Received: 03-Dec-2024 Revised: 20-Feb-2025 Accepted: 08-March-2025

RQ5 How do regulatory frameworks and industry practices address the ethical implications of robo-advisory services? Hence, to achieve the objectives, this study review literature on various categories of emerging financial technologies, use case studies, and critically analyze industry reports to offer rich and detailed ethically informed accounts. The approach used in the study entails integrating research articles, review articles, industry reports, and various empirical studies that were published between 2018 and 2024. Through a logical and systematical attempt at integrating data from various sources, the review provides a panoramic view of the ethical issues involved in robo-advisory service delivery, and thus would go a long way in enhancing a responsible approach in the adoption of AI tools in the financial services industry.

Methodology

The methodology of the study focusing on ethical issues and biases in the context of robo-advisory services is using multidimensional approach. Ontologically, the research was based on constructivist paradigm, and it was understood and accepted that technology forms a social, cultural, organizational or material reality that is not neutral in its socio-material configuration [4]. To analyze the relationships between these technologies' implementation, people's experiences, and structural prejudices, an interpretivist epistemological stance was undertaken.

For the purpose of answering the research questions of the study the following methods were employed. First, there was an analysis of literature and collected documents related to the academic papers, industry reports, empirical studies of the specified period of 2018-2024. This review includes the following issues: algorithmic bias or, in other words, fairness in AI, ethical considerations for AI designing, and regulation of robo-advisory services. Hence, first, different types of biases were defined. Second, to present real-world examples and effects of bias, case studies of such platforms as Wealthfront, Betterment, ZestFinance, Robinhood, and BlackRock's Aladdin were described. These cases also involve material from regulatory inspections such as the UK Financial Conduct Authority's view of fiduciary standards.

Since the focus is on thematic analysis of the ethical challenges and bias, the data analysis method chosen was qualitative content analysis to extract themes. To systematize such biases, they were proposed to be classified according to a multi-dimensional approach that comprehensively addresses them. To serve the purpose two broad ethical considerations have been taken into the research and necessary actions have been taken.

Lastly, the study concludes the ethical analysis based on the studies from the literature review coupled with real-world case studies. These were the principles of 'fairness', 'accountability' and 'transparency' as a guide to ethical directions for avoiding bias in the practical implementation of artificial intelligence for achieving equity in the financial services industry; For regulators, developers, and users' actionable steps toward building a more bias-free environment for financial innovation was taken. This approach ensures that all the aspects of ethics surrounding the robo-advisory platforms were comprehensively covered.

Overview of AI

Artificial Intelligence (AI) simply described as an advanced technological area, which aims at designing and developing intelligent entities, which are similar to human beings [5]. AI encompasses the design of machines that can replicate the capabilities of human intelligence in numerous activities like learning, logical and critical thinking, decision making, comprehending visual patterns as well as language [6]. These systems use a number of advanced factors like predetermined algorithms and learning mechanisms along with huge databases into identifying and deciding and refining their own performance [7]. Artificial intelligence is the phenomenon that occurs in diverse areas such as machine learning, neural network, natural language processing, computer vision, and so on [8]. Artificial intelligence encompasses machine learning, which is the ability of machines to explore designs that had not been programmed by designers thus can improve with the ability of experience [9]. There is a more developed approach known as deep learning which employs artificial neuronal networks to process the information and make paramount estimations [10]. The usage of AI today is vast spread and goes from smart personal assistants and recommender systems to self-driving cars and even diagnostics of diseases [11]. In business, AI drives predictive analytics, customer service chatbots, and complex decision-making processes [12]. The pace of development has been on the increase every day, and as technology advances more intelligent systems are developed to enhance the solutions to complex problems affecting mankind.

Transparency and Explainability

Artificial intelligence is one of the most impactful technological developments for 21st century, with profound impacts in almost every sector of human society [13]. AI, at its essence, is a group of algorithms and computational models that are meant to mimic human cognitive processes so that machines can learn, reason and make decisions based on such cognitive processes increasingly better and autonomously [14].

Artificial Intelligence is about machine learning, neural networks, and especially deep learning architectures, that have transformed computational systems from something that was able to process vast amount of data and recognize even more intricate patterns [15]. With the help of these technological means AI can get more and more complex. It can perform semiotic analysis, natural language processing, image recognition, predictive analytics and autonomic decision making [16]. With each new development in the technology coming closer to becoming reality, and with each new way it could be applied or the changes it might bring, new and increasingly complicated ways to make artificially intelligent systems that are adaptable and contextually aware, as well as more ethical, are explored by the researchers and developers of such systems.

Moreover, this rise in AI also comes with some strong philosophical challenges, ethical challenges and societal challenges which should be carefully thought about and governed [17]. Among the critical ongoing questions about algorithmic bias, debates about the possible lost jobs of someone who needs that algorithmic job replaced by increasingly autonomous systems, and debates about privacy issues around the use of algorithms in these areas remain. In order to ensure that AI actually serve in a responsible manner humanity's other interests, and help us make progress and come to a better understanding, balancing technological innovation with development and deployment is crucial [18].

Data Privacy and Security

The sensitive nature of the data that is collected and processed through robo advisory services demands data privacy and security [19]. Robo-advisors, particularly when gathered by algorithms then get access to the users' personal information such as identification details, financial goals, and risk tolerance [1]. It has therefore serious ethical implications to collect and store user data. To protect users' rights, organizations must also be open about how user data is collected, used and stored, whereas the law such as GDPR must be followed. In order to serve users in an ethical manner, it is required to get informed consent from users and also follow strict data governance policies to protect user's personal information from misuse.

Given the robo advisor environment, the risks associated with a data breach and the misuse of sensitive information are especially high [20]. Cybercriminals' interest in these platforms is because they manage with a huge amount of personal financial data. Unauthorized access and exploitation of sensitive information resulting from data breaches means huge loss of money to both users and institutions [21]. In addition, the lack of proper security measures put the organizations in potential regulatory risk and damage to the company's reputation. Therefore, robo advisors need to adopt all-round cybersecurity cover like strong encryption, multi-factor authentication, the security audits on a regular basis to mitigate these risks. Advanced technologies, for instance, artificial intelligence, can also help in the integration to be able to detect situations of suspicious nature and make the technology to respect the regulatory standard. In the end, keeping data private and secure is crucial in establishing trust with clients and ensuring the reliability of the standard of financial services in the internet age.

Fairness and Equity

In an increasingly prevalent and varied space of wealth management, fairness and equity of robo advices represent important factors. It is a fundamental principle that robo advisors enforce, to ensure fair treatment of all users, regardless of income or to the extent of a person's wealth. That being said, many robo-

advisors have already intervened by offering low or no minimum investment requirements that dramatically improves the accessibility for people from all sorts of different financial backgrounds. For example, virtually all robo advisors have cut their minimum investment thresholds, thus giving a great impetus to the participation of middle-class households, boosting their welfer and widening financial inclusion. As this democratization of financial advice, underserved populations now have the freedom to enjoy automated investment strategies that were only accessible to wealthier clients, narrowing down inequality of wealth management.

Nevertheless, the question still arises as to why underserved communities might still be left behind with regards to digital inclusion in robo-advisory services, and not fully integrated into them on the same level as everyone else. Such platforms can certainly ease entry barriers but they admittedly require a lot of technology and internet access, which the number of people who don't have don't quite meet. However, for the sake of communities with limited digital literacy or internet access that can rely upon reliable internet, they will be disadvantaged in utilizing the robo advisor promise. Additionally, such algorithms as those running these platforms have the tendency to unintentionally reinforce any existing biases if not carefully coded and monitored. Consequently, robo advising firms have to actively continue to expand access, but also to take affirmative steps to support underserved communities through education and outreach programs. Through this dual approach, the risk of a digital exclusion and the fairness and equity of wealth management could be mixed.

Fiduciary Responsibility

Fiduciary responsibility is an important factor of the function of robo advisory services within wealth management and refers to the ethical and legal duty of your platforms to their clients. By and large, Robo-advisors are fiduciaries under the Investment Advisers Act for those registered as investment advisors. This designation mandates that they act to their clients' best interests and therefore, disclose any conflicts of interest so that they are executing trades at the best cost and recommend suitable financial investment based on their client's financial situation. Despite that, it was a debate as to where robo-advisors stand on these fiduciary obligations. They argue that automated nature of these services may actually impair their ability to provide personalized advice, tailored to each clients' unique circumstances which may affect the quality of fulfillment of fiduciary duty.

Additionally, robo-advisory has a tension of maximizing while serving users' interests. As you would expect from these types of platforms, they are supposed to deliver cheap investment alternatives but there are fears that such motives to generate profit may lead to conflicts of interest, as algorithms may weight investment products that may not be in the client's best interest. As a result, this has prompted more transparency about how algorithms are built and made decisions with these platforms.12 These competing interests needed to be balanced out by robo-advisors' implementation of strict measures in order for them to complete their fiduciary duties, while at the same time being profitable. It involves increasing the algorithmic transparency, regular auditing of returns, active interaction with clients to ascertain whether their needs and preferences are taken care of. In the end, it is robo-advisors' capacity to navigate this tight line which will determine their ultimate success when fulfilling their fiduciary obligations.

Emphasis on Intersectionality

In the realm of artificial intelligence (AI) systems, there is a need to prioritize the use of Intersectionality to discern how different types of bias intermingle and are impacting in computation across different demographic groups. Intersectionality means when these multiple categories of approaches (in this case, socioeconomic status, race and gender) overlap and create systems of discrimination or disadvantage. These intersectional biases have to be recognized, first because AI systems, from those used in financial services and on robo-advisory platforms, have the capability to either intensify or weaken existing inequalities when they are not designed

with awareness to these complexities. Say that an AI algorithm is trained mainly against a homogenous set of data, it will not 'understand' how others from different backgrounds or with different socio-economic reality may approach or need, leading to skewed recommendations that do not factor in the specific case and circumstances of one's financial need.

Thus, these biases can substantially influence the outcomes of wealth for different demographic groups through the financial advice they receive. For instance, algorithms designed to select a particular investment strategy among others based on past records may miss out on the particular issues encountered by communities who are not underprivileged, for example having low barrier on capital access or different appetite on risk. Disparities in wealth accumulation may be simply exacerbated by these not inclusive recommendations because they favor wealthier people or largely white backgrounds. Specific example includes robo-advisors suggesting highly aggressive investment strategy for many lower income clients who need to be protected their very limited resources by more conservative approach. This underscores the importance of including intersectional analysis in the creation and deployment of such AI systems to guarantee unalloyed financial advice and positively affect that all people, however, socio economic or demographic background.

Framework for Multi-Dimensional Bias Analysis

It is important that a systematic framework for identifying and diminishing intersectional biases in robo-advisory systems, is put forth to guarantee just financial guidance and results. For instance, the first type of biases that should be captured with this framework is that these can be economic, racial and gender biases. With a multi-dimensional approach, the framework would study how these biases interact and the way they impact algorithmic decision making process. One example of this is that robo advisory algorithm data inputs are prone to historical inequality, and as such, biased recommendations can be made favoring particular demographic groups. Consistent with doing this, the framework could include regular reviews of algorithmic performance across different demographic groups, and that it does not disproportionately disadvantage any group.

This framework is strengthened when the examples or data is integrated for validation. For example, research has indicated that robo-advisors may accommodate investment strategies that are not aligned with the financial realities of lower income users or disadvantageous members of the community leading to further extension of existing inequality in finances accumulation. Stakeholders can better understand the implications of algorithmic bias in advice through of analysis chosen cases where such bias has consequences for different demographic groups. Moreover, data from user feedback and outcomes can further inform algorithm refinement in order to make algorithms more fair or inclusive. In total, this systematic framework intends to build a fairer ground for robo advising services by consciously keeping track of and eliminating intersectional bias through continuous intervention of AI systems in regards to their adaptation and evaluation.

Algorithmic Bias

The systematic error in machine learning containing algorithmic bias in which the output is unfair or discriminatory often correlates with the biases already present in the training dataset, i.e. socioeconomic, racial, gender. Consequently, these biases can drastically affect how the robo advisory services wealth recommendation is based on historical data, which are among the algorithms they rely on to offer advices of themselves, and this is significant. It is possible that prevalence of recommendations generated from skewered or non-diverse population training datasets may have a disproportionate positive effect on prevalence of advice and wealth accumulation opportunities for some groups, and negatively so for others. For example, an example of the is biased algorithms that would suggest such as the use of an aggressive investment over the expenditure

of those with a low income or among marginalized communities and may effectively increase economic inequality.

Examples of these outcomes are shown to be biased in wealth management through several case studies. Historically biased data has been used in credit scoring algorithms, and such algorithms have been shown to disadvantage minority app points. For example, assume our algorithm has been trained to work with age and income as indicators of credit worth, but can incorrectly assess the quality of credit worth of the demographic class that is different—let's say people who are from the lower incomes, and therefore may reject loans or grant them with poor terms. They stand out for example in applying insurance pricing models not founded on objective financial criteria and using factors that correlate with gender or race and weighting women or racial minorities out, because these matching factors are used instead of objective financial criteria. The emphasis on these cases is the need for introducing strong bias mitigation practices in the financial institutions to mitigate the case of unfair treatment of any demographic groups and the equity of any group to the services offered by the financial institutions.

Cognitive and Behavioral Bias

The way in which users interpret these recommendations as cognitive and behavioral biases is driving them to make investment decisions and suffer from negative financial outcomes. These automated systems could be approached by their users with preconceived notions, or with emotional responses, that might color their understanding when they are given advice. For example, a person may exhibit confirmation bias which is seeking information that will help confirm the reasoning or beliefs to which they are already committed, while ignoring contradictory data. The misinterpretations caused by this can lead to bad investments as a result of robo-advisors' suggestions. Furthermore, there may also be biases, for instance, overconfidence, thereby the users could think that they will better outperform the market and they would disregard the robo advisors' advice instead of their own judgment. As such, in robo advisory settings, decision making is further complicated by framing effects and default options. Research indicates that the way info is presented (across positives and negatives) has a very big impact on user choices. Say a robo-advisor markets an investment as having a high probability to return, this can lead the users to invest even if it is associated with risks. Like these platforms had default options set which force users to go with those investment strategies, without having other options in mind. This phenomenon can perpetuate existing biases and diminish the user engagement in investment process by simply getting on with it, as they may fall into the trap of avoiding decisions to default. On the whole, learning about these cognitive and behavioral biases is important for increasing the level of user engagement with robo advisors and the confidence with which they make decisions regarding their finances.

Systemic Bias

Financial ecosystem is defined with structural problems that support inequalities and bias within different services such as robo advised services. There are some biases that are historic inequities that are reflected in financial data sets which can result in recommendation biases and lack of access to financial resources. For example, if the algorithms to be trained are usually trained with the data from rich portions of the population, the derived financial advice will not be sufficiently suitable to serve lower income or marginalized communities. However, relying on biased datasets can perpetuate such a cycle as those with an edge in some ways may not receive personalized advice designed to help them as they are disadvantaged in other areas.

These systemic biases do serious things to wealth accumulation, and access to credit, amongst different demographic groups. Existing inequities in history, like denied lending practices and huge economic barriers, in turn produce a space where some populations have many hurdles to overcome when accessing financial

services. Consider that algorithms being trained on biased data might make it into a system that suggests out of place investment approaches for people who don't fit within the categories covered by implicit bias. As highlighted by various studies such as the ones observing how AI will affect financial services, stakeholders must appreciate the presence of these biases and address them. If the ecosystem can address the structural issues inherent to the financial world and make datasets that represent a variety of experiences, transform the industry will be created towards a more equitable financial landscape for everyone.

Impact of Bias on Users and Wealth Management Outcomes

Bias has multiple effects on users and wealth management outcomes, especially with regard to robo advice services. As the areas of financial inclusion and exclusion are key where biases show up, their access to these automated services is hindered. For example, biases in algorithmic recommendation can result in unequal distribution of financial advice to the users, usually to those with higher socioeconomic background while underexposing the those from lower income or underrepresented groups. This can prevent people in building wealth as they may have no access to the same caliber of the financial service or investment opportunities. This means that such inequities may perpetuate already existing wealth building opportunities, and thus it becomes more difficult for disadvantaged communities to amass assets and financial stability.

In addition, interactions with robo advisory platforms pose a large role in trust and adoption of the systems themselves, as a result, there is a large perceived (or real) bias with the robo-advisory platforms which in turn cannot help but influence user trust and adoption. When the users suspect that the algorithms are biased or may not take care of their individual needs the trust in these services diminishes. This skepticism can result in lower adoption rates and decline in other future engagements on users' part if they feel less comfortable with the logic of financial advising that is provided by the application or automated tools. It is especially destructive in a field where user confidence is essential to motivate an interest in the practice of investment strategies.

Bisexuals in the online dating world often face a bad reputation that can hurt them. In the end wealth distribution is also heavily affected by biases in robo advisor recommendations themselves. If these platforms as a rule feed their readers biased advice that leans in the direction of certain demographic groups, it will make existing wealth inequality even worse. For instance, if a program putting forth high risk investment strategies principally advantageous to the wealthier user, but disables the ability for the lower income user to need more conservative options, this will create a bigger wealth gap.

Mitigating Ethical Challenges and Bias

Efforts at mitigating the ethical challenges and bias incurred by robo advisory services need to be initiated through regulation and compliance, ethical creation of AI, user education, and integration of future technologies. The current regulatory frameworks of AI in wealth management, including the stake from the SEC, stand for the firms to follow the best interest of their clients and transparent with its process. Firms should set up good governance structures which monitor AI use and practice legal standard to improve oversight and compliance. The compliance can be maintained with regular audits and clear documentation of AI decision making process, and it can also create trust with the stakeholders. In addition, training of staff about the ethical problems that AI raises can serve to promote the culture of accountability within organizations123.

It is key to mitigate bias that only incorporate principles of fairness, accountability and transparency in AI development. To temper against the bias, interdisciplinary teams, consisting of people with different perspectives, can be used to reduce the possibility of introducing intended or unintended bias into the algorithms by way of input into the design process. Therefore, such teams can help the data used to train AI systems represents different demographic groups with at least some level of diversity to positively

impact financial advice and recommendations output in a fair way.45

User education is highly effective in informing the clients about making opted decisions in their investments. Approaches to increase user awareness of possible biases of robo advisors include clear explanation of how robo advisors work and the bases on which their recommendations are made. By teaching users about the restrictions and potential biases in these systems, firms can aid users in being more productive with the advice they receive, which results in improved financial outcomes67. Explainable AI (XAI) is an emerging technology that has the potential to address ethical concerns in both the adoption and deployment of AI decision making processes and make AI decision making processes more transparent and understandable. Such technologies help financial institutions understand better how recommendations are generated and raise a level of trust in the user. For instance, data analysis techniques can be improved to eliminate bias and grounded in more data and predict more accurately when there are more varieties of inputs8. Overall, the most effective way to deal with ethical biases in robo-advisory services is through an holistic approach in which regulatory compliance, ethical design principles, educating users, and innovative technologies come together to achieve the above stated aim.

Case Study-1

One of the case studies that discusses the intersection of behavioral finance and robo advisory services is 'Embedding Behavioral Biases into Robo advisory platforms: A Case study of investors in UAE' by Banerjee, Kumar, Mohnot (2025) focusing on the behavioral factors that influence investment decisions. The study finds the key behavioral biases (mental accounting, gambler's fallacy, hindsight bias, regret aversion, disposition effect, trend chasing, loss aversion, herding) where the investment taking behavior of people goes astray. Based on the findings of the study, the first factor was age, and the other was income of wealth management professionals using primary data collected from 263 respondents in the UAE, revealing that age and income were closely related to some of the biases like mental accounting and herding behavior. This correlation implies that demographic groups may be affected by these biases in diverse ways, such as in ways that result in nonrational investment decisions and consequently hampers wealth management effectively.

This finding further supports the need to embed such biases in robo-advisory platform in order to improve its efficacy. With the right robo advisor or financial advice, the robo advisor can take advantage of the various user demographics' specific biases to increase confidence to make better, more informed decisions and consistently build wealth. Indeed, as the study argues, being able to correctly identifying and deal with behavioral biases are an important part to improve user outcomes in wealth management. Overall, this work provides novel results in integrating behavioral finance principles into automated financial advice systems with the efforts to develop more equitable and effective investing strategies for visa investor profiles in the context of the UAE.

Case Study-2

The Robinhood case study raises a number of ethical issues surrounding gamification of trading, as well as a company's fiduciary responsibilities. Complaints have been drawn by Robinhood, a widely known trading move, which uses tactics that develop gamification, for instance, very stylish designs, digital confetti, and choices that pass on regular exchanging which may at last bring about impulsive and potentially perilous ventures among unfledged clients. Regulators have taken a dim view of this approach, particularly in Massachusetts where regulators claimed that Robinhood's marketing ways exposed the unwitting investors to unjustifiably high risks and fare short of the fiduciary duty to act in the subjects' best interests (Massachusetts Securities Division, 2020). Design of the platform transforms trading from being a serious question of financial decision-making to an entertaining game, providing

an appealing interface but also misleading as it is not a true replica of the actual financial decision making (Banerjee et al., 2025).

Moreover, Robinhood's business model that relied on payment for order flow, wherein it would take revenue by directing trades to the market makers, has additionally complicated your ethical standing. This model is criticized as it results in conflict of interests that may arise from prioritizing the company's financial interests above the interests of its users (Zhang, 2024). In its Massachusetts complaint, the Massachusetts emphasized that 68% of Robinhood customers were approved to trade options without experiencing (Daniels Fund, 2020). As a result of these lapses in ethics, Robinhood will have to settle for $7.5 million with the regulators in early 2024 for the failure to meet fiduciary standards (Vinson & Elkins LLP, 2024). This case underlines the responsibility of fintech platforms and goes into how it should be addressed as far as user engagement and responsible financial practice are concerned.

Case Study 3

In order to assess their compliance to a fiduciary standard, the UK Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) has thoroughly reviewed robo advisory platforms, but the resulting insights on operational practices of digital financial services are quite critical. Among other issues, the FCA evaluation found many robo-advisors do not make their services' risks crystal clear, nor are their fees transparent when they communicate with consumers, thereby misleading consumers about the kind of information they receive (novice), and the resulting advice quality it is (FCA, 2018). The FCA found that in reviewing the digital advice providers ten were marketed as providing unlimited, comprehensive financial advice, but in reality, the provided amounted to little more far beyond and did not meet the regulatory definition of financial advice. This discrepancy is of concern because it implies whether or not users are receiving the right help that is suited to their financial condition (FCA, 2019).

Additionally, the FCA stressed the need for the robo advisory services to adhere to exactly the same set of regulatory standards that traditional advisory services adhere to. This includes performing proper suitability assessments and source enough information about the clients' financials to give recommendations accordingly (FCA, 2020). In some cases, the FCA highlighted instances where little thought had been put into securing a fact-finding process and accordingly, automation models may give advice that is not in the best interest of clients. These digital platforms are such institutions that lack such shortcomings give consumers a great harm and generate distrust in these digital platforms (FCA, 2020).

In order to respond to these challenges, the FCA has called for increased compliance requirements and increased regulation on robo advisors in giving clearer guidelines as to how a firm should be operating in this evolving technologically advanced space. The FCA is looking to create a more trustworthy environment for robo-advised services through the focus on outcomes and to ensure that the automated advice meets the established fiduciary standards (FCA 2020). Overall, the FCA's review is timely reminder for accountability in the fast-growing robo advice world that offers both opportunities and risks generated from automated financial services.

Case Study-4

This case discusses that the digital exclusion in developing countries, there are major challenges involved to marginalized communities who just don't have access to the digital wealth management tools. While digital finance has the potential to boost financial inclusion, immense socio-economic inequalities serve as a systemic barrier that is hampering financial inclusion for many individuals in developing nations, including lack of infrastructure, lack of financial literacy and many more (Mushtaq, 2025). Mobile banking offers a good example; while m-banking has catalyzed financial access in countries such as Kenya and Tanzania, the countries have not seen the same results when it comes to mobile money account ownership, which World Bank (2025) attributes it to. Though Pakistan has a good share of mobile phone ownership and internet connectivity, a major

chunk of the population still depends on informal financial channels, which leaves them vulnerable to exploitation and curtailed ability to save and invest (Mushtaq, 2025).

Furthermore, lack of access to information makes the digital divide amplify such issues getting marginalized women, and low-income households unable to get benefits from digital financial services. Unfair access to technology is cited by the World Bank as a reason for massive economic difference because the privileged possess the ability to use what could enhance their livelihoods, whereas the rest are barred from these resources. Consequently, the situation is made worse by the lack of financial literacy programs that would equip new users with the ability to recognize when they are vulnerable to predatory lending or overspend through their digital financial accounts (World Bank, 2025).

Overall, digital exclusion needs to be tackled by developing the right policies to promote public infrastructure, develop financial literacy, as well as financial products in line with the interests of the underserved. By utilizing technology responsibly and by promoting access to digital wealth management tools to the developing countries, digital financial inclusion would enable to enhance financial inclusion and marginalized communities to actively take part in the economy.

Case Study-5

The case study of BlackRock's Aladdin platform analyses the possibility of biases that BlackRock's asset allocation strategies incur, and it harbors serious issues that should be asked in relation to algorithm-based investment decisions. Serving as a sophisticated software as a service, or (SaaS) platform, Aladdin processes over 15 billion data points daily, to assist institutional investors to make investment strategy and risk management (Vorecol, 2024). The ability of this to do advanced analysis and increase the portfolio performance comes at risk of algorithmic bias. This is for example to using historical data and predefined algorithms to take advantage of systematic biases in the asset allocation that may be insufficient in characterizing of evolving the market conditions and the special demands of heterogeneous profiles of investors (Queen's Business Review, 2025). It has been noted that such biases can promote groupthink among investors that put heavy reliance on Aladdin's recommendations, thereby leading to homogeneous investment strategies that miss(new) development opportunities or risks (Queen's Business Review, 2025). Of particular concern as hundreds of billions of dollars in global financial assets are managed by Aladdin, which carries a bit of influence in market dynamics ($21 trillion or so) (Vorecol, 2024).

Additionally, these assets could conduct extensive portfolio stress tests and risk assessments without inherently eliminating bias, rather, there is compelling logic for including a range of viewpoints and adaptive algorithms in order for it not to fall prey to the failings of asset allocation (BlackRock, 2024). However, for BlackRock to address these challenges to address these challenges, transparency must be gained about how Aladdin's algorithms function, as well as what data was put in. This environment of bringing human expertise together with AI driven insights helps BlackRock shorten the path to minimize such biases in its asset allocation strategies. The objective of this case study is to highlight the practical complexity of integrating advanced technology into setting financial decisions and the need for constant oversight to ensure that duty of prudence is strictly adhered to in the business of management of assets.

Discussion

The findings of the study suggest implications for the financial industry, ethical AI as a competitive advantage was shown to be important for the financial industry. The study shows a real system of algorithmic biases rooted in historical inequities and systemic issues that very significantly affect the user's experience and the effects of wealth management [22]. The findings show that biases may cause marginalized communities to be pushed to the financial boundaries, where disparities in wealth building opportunities take place and the confidence in robo advisory platforms also be at stake [23]. While becoming irrelevant has

never been an option for the financial industry, the adoption of AI technologies in this area has become a regulatory necessity and a moral imperative to prevent any biases, which impedes the equitable access to financial services [24]. The implications of these findings are wider than the users involved, they have a ripple effect to stakeholders across the entire financial ecosystem. In the age of competitive market, financial institutions that give ethical AI priority stand out and earn greater trust and loyalty from customers [25].

Moreover, ethical AI is an instrument to diminish potential legal consequences that may result from biased decision making [26]. The review notes that businesses need to acknowledge ethical concerns as a part of their business strategy as opposed to a matter of compliance. Based on the review, future research directions surround key gaps in financial services literature that exist in the intersection of AI ethics and bias. Future studies are recommended to explore the long-term effects of algorithmic bias in distribution of wealth and explore suitable methods of mitigating bias in AI systems. Furthermore, the understanding of how biases play out and how they could be tackled in robo-advisory platforms would benefit from a more complete perspective that behaves more like interdisciplinary statements using insights of behavioral finance, sociology and ethics.

Conclusion

This study presents several matters that require ethical analysis in robo-advisory services with a view of achieving fairness in the financial industry. The study shows that the algorithmic prejudices can influence the users' experiences and hinder the people from receiving reasonable financial advice if they belong to an underprivileged group. Now that the concept of applying AI in the financial industry is being implemented and advanced in many ways, active regulation of the industry, AI developers, and financial users need to establish and follow important standards of AI ethical usage. This means that by conquering all the various combined biases that are present in these systems, then the industry would be able to maximize the confidence of the users that are using these platforms, to give a fair chance at creating wealth. To this end, all the stakeholders have to come together and develop strong structures that do not only conform to laws but also practice ethical artificial intelligence as a strength in the ever-challenging environment of wealth management.

References

1. Bhatia A, Chandani A, Atiq R, Mehta M, Divekar R. Artificial intelligence in financial services: a qualitative research to discover robo-advisory services. Qualitative Research in Financial Markets. 2021;13(5):632-54.

2. Barile D, Secundo G, Bussoli C. Exploring artificial intelligence robo-advisor in banking industry: a platform model. Management Decision. 2024.

3. Haider SA, Borna S, Gomez-Cabello CA, Pressman SM, Haider CR, Forte AJ. The Algorithmic Divide: A Systematic Review on AI-Driven Racial Disparities in Healthcare. Journal of Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities. 2024:1-30.

4. Psaros H. Learning, digital technologies, and sociomaterial approaches: A critical reflection from the perspective of materialist dialectics. Theory & Psychology. 2022;32(6):827-47.

5. Korteling J, van de Boer-Visschedijk GC, Blankendaal RA, Boonekamp RC, Eikelboom AR. Human-versus artificial intelligence. Frontiers in artificial intelligence. 2021;4:622364.

6. Spector JM, Ma S. Inquiry and critical thinking skills for the next generation: from artificial intelligence back to human intelligence. Smart Learning Environments. 2019;6(1):1-11.

7. Rane NL, Paramesha M, Choudhary SP, Rane J. Artificial intelligence, machine learning, and deep learning for advanced business strategies: a review. Partners Universal International Innovation Journal. 2024;2(3):147-71.

8. González García C, Núñez Valdéz ER, García Díaz V, Pelayo García-Bustelo BC, Cueva Lovelle JM. A review of artificial intelligence in the internet of things. International Journal Of Interactive Multimedia And Artificial Intelligence, 5. 2019.

9. Yüksel N, Börklü HR, Sezer HK, Canyurt OE. Review of artificial intelligence applications in engineering design perspective. Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence. 2023;118:105697.

10. Goel A, Goel AK, Kumar A. The role of artificial neural network and machine learning in utilizing spatial information. Spatial Information Research. 2023;31(3):275-85.

11. Valavanidis A. Artificial Intelligence (AI) Applications. Department of Chemistry, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, University Campus Zografou. 2023;15784.

12. Badmus O, Rajput SA, Arogundade JB, Williams M. AI-driven business analytics and decision making. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews. 2024;24(1):616-33.

13. Lucci S, Musa SM, Kopec D. Artificial intelligence in the 21st century. 2022.

14. Konar A. Artificial intelligence and soft computing: behavioral and cognitive modeling of the human brain: CRC press; 2018.

15. Sarker IH. Deep learning: a comprehensive overview on techniques, taxonomy, applications and research directions. SN computer science. 2021;2(6):420.

16. Basso Fossali P, Dondero MG, Yoka L. Semiotic approaches to big data visualization. 24592943. 2022.

17. Cath C. Governing artificial intelligence: ethical, legal and technical opportunities and challenges. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences. 2018;376(2133):20180080.

18. Leslie D. Tackling COVID-19 through responsible AI innovation: Five steps in the right direction.

Harvard Data Science Review. 2020;10.

19. Hakala K. Robo-advisors as a form of artificial intelligence in private customers' investment advisory services 2019.

20. Aw EC-X, Leong L-Y, Hew J-J, Rana NP, Tan TM, Jee T-W. Counteracting dark sides of robo-advisors: justice, privacy and intrusion considerations. International Journal of Bank Marketing. 2023;42(1):133-51.

21. Sharma N, Oriaku EA, Oriaku N. Cost and effects of data breaches, precautions, and disclosure laws. International Journal of Emerging Trends in Social Sciences. 2020;8(1):33-41.

22. Kordzadeh N, Ghasemaghaei M. Algorithmic bias: review, synthesis, and future research directions. European Journal of Information Systems. 2022;31(3):388-409.

23. Tonon L. Robo-Advisors and Human Financial Advisory (titolo provvisorio). 2023.

24. Challoumis C, editor WHAT ARE THE ETHICAL IMPLICATIONS OF AI IN FINANCIAL SYSTEMS. XVII International Scientific Conference; 2024.

25. Du S, Xie C. Paradoxes of artificial intelligence in consumer markets: Ethical challenges and opportunities. Journal of Business Research. 2021;129:961-74.

26. Čartolovni A, Tomičić A, Mosler EL. Ethical, legal, and social considerations of AI-based medical decision-support tools: A scoping review. International Journal of Medical Informatics. 2022;161:104738.