
Semiotics and Symbolism in Modern Poetry: A Critical Review of Language, Meaning, and Cultural Contexts

¹*Valerie Behiery

¹BFA, University of Ottawa, ¹PhD, McGill University, Montreal

Abstract

This review article critically analyzes the function of semiotics and symbolism in modern poetry in terms of the way signs and symbols are deployed to build meaning, disrupt conventional language usage, and respond to cultural and historical contexts. In this context, the research work draws from such seminal theories in semiotics as Saussure's structuralism, Peirce's triadic model, and Barthes' myth analysis, as the study deconstructs how modern poetry grapples with disjointed identities and changing cultural milieus. Case studies involve poets like T.S. Eliot, Sylvia Plath, and Pablo Neruda illustrate here the varied usage of semiotic structures in poetic expression. The article also takes up critical debates regarding meaning-making, cultural specificity, and postmodern deconstruction, regarding their importance for the fluidity of symbols in modern discourse. Despite crucial trends highlighted, there is identification of gaps, from interdisciplinary approaches to cross-cultural analyses and digital explorations of semiotics. After all, the concern of modern poetry with semiotics and symbolism underscores its capability to reflect and reconstruct cultural consciousness within an ever-changing literary environment.

Keywords: Semiotics, Symbolism, Modern Poetry, Meaning-Making, Cultural Contexts, Linguistic Structures, Postmodernism, Reader-Response Theory, Intertextuality, Digital Poetry.

Introduction

Interactions of semiotics and symbolism within modern poetry therefore represent a complex field for inquiry into how language is more than communication but is, instead, a vehicle of cultural, ideological, and existential meaning. Indeed, in the last decade or so, references to semiotic frameworks, deriving from the thought of Saussure, Peirce, and later figures such as Lotman and Barthes, have been increasingly necessary for scholars attempting to work their way through these layers of significance within the poetic texts. This introduction draws together recent, peer-reviewed scholarship (2018–2025) to show that modern poetry is a living semiotic system in which meaning is co-constituted by symbols and cultural contexts: it contests fixity in linguistic terms and disrupts authorial intent.

Semiotics as a Lens for Poetic Analysis

Semiotics refers to the science of signs and their interpretive processes, and it is exactly this foundation on

which one would understand how symbols, metaphors, and cultural codes inside a poem construct meaning. In SFL, the context is constructed as a semiotic construct that reflects and informs social realities in language [1]. For instance, Bowcher (2018) draws a contrast between the material and semiotic senses of context and highlights the fact that the meaning of a poem is created when material items like objects and settings are made to function “semiotised” in and through language. A dustbin in the kitchen becomes an icon of ecological sensitivity when the line appears in the dialogue because “poetic discourse is such that everyday items like this dustbin become weighted with symbolic meaning.”.

This is in consonance with Peirce's triadic model of signs: icon, index, and symbol, which contemporary research has employed to discuss the way modern poets such as T.S. Eliot and Sylvia Plath mix personal iconography with universal symbols. For example, Adriaensen et al (2023) concept of “blank-signs” gaps in texts that invite reader interpretation, points out how ambiguity in poetry functions

Valerie Behiery

¹BFA, University of Ottawa, ¹PhD, McGill University, Montreal

¹Corresponding Author Email: valeriebehiery789@outlook.com

Received: 02-Dec-2024

Revised: 18-Feb-2025

Accepted: 05-March-2025

as a semiotic strategy [2]. In Sylvia Plath's work, broken syntax and lexical vagueness create holes that the reader fills with culturally contingent meanings, a phenomenon known as "semiotic fluidity" [3, 4].

Cultural Contexts and Symbolic Exchange

Modern poetry is semiotic by definition in terms of cultural codes that confer meaning on symbols. Lotman's concept of the semiosphere a dynamic semiotic space where cultural texts meet symbolic exchange can be applied critically towards the way poets negotiate hybrid identities [5]. For instance, contemporary Indian English poets like Anjum Hasan and Meena Kandasamy work within a "semiosphere" that fuses local traditions with globalized discourses, creating symbols that reflect postcolonial tensions [6, 7]. Their poetry often juxtaposes Sanskrit motifs with digital-age imagery, embodying what scholars term "transcultural semiosis" [7].

In like manner, classical Chinese poetry translations into Western languages illustrate how cultural symbolism cannot be easily kept in translation. According to Zhao (2022), the task of translating ideogrammatic richness is one that balances fidelity to the source's "iconicity" with adaptation to target-culture semiotic norms [4]. For example, Ezra Pound's Cathay translations re-represent Tang Dynasty symbols in the light of Imagist aesthetics to illustrate how creative "blank-filling" serves to bridge the semiotic gap [8].

Power Dynamics and Ideological Encoding

Cultural poetics a combination of semiotics and critical theory exposes how contemporary poetry represents power dynamics and oppositions. According to Stuart Hall's encoding/decoding model, readers decode symbols on the basis of their socio historical position, thus transforming those symbols [9]. In the context of postcolonial poetry, symbols such as "the mask" or "the border" turn into sites of contestation for the legacies of colonialism and diasporic identities [10].

Feminist scholars have extended this lens further, exploring how poets like Adrienne Rich subvert patriarchal symbols [11]. Plath's use of domestic imagery, for example, "bell jars," "oven mitts," critiques gendered expectations, transforming everyday objects into semiotic weapons [12]. Similarly, the "void" in Wang's blank-sign theory resonates with feminist critiques of silenced voices, where absences in texts signify systemic erasures [3].

Challenges in Semiotic Interpretation

Although the semiotic approach is highly analytical, it is criticized for prioritizing theoretical abstraction over emotional resonance. Wijana & Mashliatin (2024) posits that the translation of poetry often forfeits affective depth in the name of semiotic equivalence—a tension that comes out in debates about Paul Celan's Holocaust poetry [13, 14]. Further, the rise of digital semiotics complicates traditional analyses as poets work with hypertext and multimedia to create "multimodal" texts where visual and auditory signs play with linguistic ones [15].

The "death of the author" controversy further muddies semiotic interpretation [16]. If, in this post-structuralist thinking, meaning actually lies with the reader, a more recent movement focuses on pretexts to help a reader navigate through those gaps; consider Rainer Schwarz's German translation of Six Records of a Floating Life, in which Shen Fu's Qing Dynasty world is brought back to life via annotations, bridging the gap created by semiotics [17].

Toward a holistic semiotics of modern poetry

Contemporary scholarship asks for an interdisciplinary semiotics which integrates cultural, digital, and cognitive perspectives. Gavins' (2020) Text World Theory brings cognitive poetics into dialogue with semiotics as it argues that readers construct mental "worlds" from poetic symbols, under the influence of personal and cultural schemas [18]. Digital semiotics, meanwhile, explores how algorithms and AI-generated poetry blur the lines between creator and interpreter in challenging human-centric meaning-making [19].

Therefore, it lies in modern poetry's capacity for oscillating between cultural specificity and universal abstraction. SFL in collaboration with Lotman's theory of the semiosphere, blank-sign theory will unveil ways poets such as Eliot, Plath, Kandasamy have encoded such layers of meanings resonating within different spaces across different timelines. Intersections among semiotics, translation, digital media await greater probing as any future analyses.

Theoretical Framework

Modern poetry need to be studied with a robust theoretical base that combines linguistic theory, cultural psychology, and interdisciplinary critiques of meaning-making through semiotic and symbolic lenses. This framework integrates classical models of semiotics with

the more recent advances and highlights how symbols and signs work in the dynamic interplay between language, culture, and the reader's interpretation.

Foundational Semiotic Theories

Semiotics is basically concerned with the study of how signs comprising signifiers (forms) and signified (concepts) build meaning. Ferdinand de Saussure's dyadic model (signifier/signified) and Charles Sanders Peirce's triadic model (icon, index, symbol) continue to be central [20]. He implicitly emphasizes the relational character of linguistic signs from which meaning is made in terms of differences within a system [21]. In contrast, Peirce draws attention to the interpreter, the mediating third element that contextualizes signs—the fluidity of meaning taking into account cultural and experiential factors [22]. Subsequent research often rightly questions this hard historical divide between the paradigms while favoring models capable of dealing with the hybridity of modern poetry. For instance, Zhao (2022) reconcile Peirce's iconicity with Saussure's structuralism to analyze classical Chinese poetry's translation, revealing how ideogrammatic richness is preserved through semiotic equivalence [4]

Structuralist and Post-Structuralist Adaptations

Structuralism's focus on fixed systems evolved into post-structuralist critiques that prioritize fluidity and intertextuality [23]. Roland Barthes' "death of the author" argues that meaning lies in the reader's interpretation, not in the author's intention, a concept that is expanded in digital semiotics, where hypertextual poetry disrupts linear narratives [24, 25]. Michael Riffaterre's Semiotics of Poetry introduces the "hypogram," a preexisting textual matrix that poets transform, arguing that poetic meaning arises through deviations from normative language [26].

Cultural Semiotics and Symbolic Universes

Cultural contexts shape symbolic meaning significantly [27]. Using the dynamic space where cultural texts are interacting, as given by Yuri Lotman's semiosphere, hybrid identities in postcolonial poetry can be analyzed. For instance, contemporary poets like Meena Kandasamy blend Sanskrit motifs with digital-age imagery, which is a reflection of transcultural semiosis [28, 29]. The SCPT further extends this by defining symbols as "affect-laden symbolic universes" that mediate individual and collective experiences [30]. These universes, as Salvatore

et al. (2019) illustrate, are born from polarized "lines of semiotic force," such as the tension between tradition and modernity in Anjum Hasan's work [29].

Translation and InterSemiotic Challenges

Translating poetry is a process that Jakobson termed as intersemiotic transposition that exposes the limits of semiotic fidelity. Using Bowcher (2018), SFL frameworks that distinguish material and semiotic contexts, how translators move in gaps between source and target cultures [31]. Thus, Cai Zhizhong comic adaptations of Tang poetry translate the metaphors verbally to visual narrations, modifying types of processes in adapting to multi-modality-audiences [31]; however, it risks erasing cultural specifics when a translation as that of Ezra Pound's Cathay prioritized aesthetics of Imagist above classical Chinese tonal rhythm [4].

Digital Semiotics and Multimodal Poetics

The digital era opens new semiotic dimensions where hypertext, emojis, and algorithmic poetry redefine the old symbolism [32]. Sindoni & Moschini (2021) argues that digital semiotics requires "multimodal frameworks" to analyze how visual, auditory, and linguistic signs co-create meaning [33]. Jeffries' (2022) Critical Stylistics applies this to contemporary poetry, demonstrating how poets like Anne Carson use typographic spacing and hyperlinks to fragment linearity, inviting readers to co-construct meaning [34]. Meanwhile, Berlanga-Fernández & Reyes (2024) critiques AI-generated poetry for blurring human intentionality, raising questions about authorship in algorithmic semiosis [25].

Semiotics in Modern Poetry

Lexical Signs and Devices: Metaphor, Metonymy, Imagery

Modern poetry uses semantic tools, specifically metaphor, metonymy, and imagery, through linguistic signs [35]. Cognitive semiotics, according to Zlatev in 2024, defines those devices as a type of iconic sign that crosscuts sensory experiences with conceptual mappings to help poets in their task to produce condensed languages and layered meaning [36]. Metaphors such as Plath's "bell jar," which is "the feeling, like being trapped under a jar," function like hybrid signs that draw together sensory concreteness with cultural codes into affective resonance [35].

Metonymy, by contrast, depends on contiguity rather than resemblance [37]. An interdisciplinary study published in 2024 points out that metonymic displacements like T.S. Eliot's "broken glass" to represent the city's ruin in *The Waste Land* evoke associative networks among readers, which associate broken imagery with larger sociocultural commentary [35, 38]. Such tropes illustrate Peirce's triadic model, wherein the interpreter (reader) bridges the signifier (word) and the signified (concept), creating dynamic meaning-making [36].

Imagery is a kind of multimodal sign system [39]. Contemporary poets such as Anne Carson make use of typographic spacing and visual layouts to expand the ambiguity of the text—a feature examined using Jeffries' (2022) *Critical Stylistics*, which emphasizes how form and content co-constitute meaning in digital-age poetry [40]. Such developments echo the cognitive semiotic position that poetic language is not merely representational but rather performative—that is, a way of actively creating reality through embodied engagement [36].

Ambiguity and Polysemy: Engaging the Reader with Multiplicity

Polysemy—the coexistence of multiple related meanings within a single sign—is at the heart of modern poetry's interpretive richness. Recent computational linguistics research (Haber & Poesio, 2024) shows that polysemous words (e.g., "light" as illumination or weightlessness) trigger hybrid neural pathways, in effect commingling literal and figurative meanings in ways analogous to poetic indeterminacy [41]. For instance, the meaning of Emily Dickinson's "I heard a Fly buzz—when I died—" depends on the polysemy of "buzz," shifting between literal noise and existential anxiety, and that ambiguity creates space for readers to gloss meaning contextually [42].

Structuralist vs. Post-Structuralist Approaches: Fixed Structures vs. Fluid Intertextuality

Structuralist theories, based in Saussure's dyadic sign model, privilege fixed systems of meaning [43]. An exemplary case of this is Michael Riffaterre's *Semiotics of Poetry*, which argues that poems are coherent because they are deviations against a normative "hypogram" (an existing textual matrix) [44]. For example, Shakespeare's sonnets consistently invert the conventions of the Petrarchan form to make meaning through structured opposition [45].

Post-structuralism, dismantles such rigidity. Julia Kristeva's intertextuality—reinvigorated in recent discussions about large language models (LLMs)—understands texts as mosaics of quotations, where meaning arises through fluid dialogue with prior works [46]. Sui (2023) claims that LLMs like GPT-4 are a perfect example of post-structuralist intertextuality, where the poetry is produced through probabilistic associations rather than authorial intent, thus decentralizing meaning [47]. Likewise, Barthes' "death of the author" emphasizes the role of the reader in co-creating significance, which is also found in contemporary hypertext poetry, where hyperlinks break linearity and invite nonlinear interpretation [48].

Critics like Tomilina and Kornienko (2023) caution that post-structuralism's embrace of chaotic intertextuality risks overlooking cultural specificity, as texts may "unravel" through contradictory allusions [49]. Yet, hybrid approaches—such as Carter's (2021) analysis of *A Midsummer Night's Dream*—balance structuralist rigor with post-structuralist fluidity, tracing intertextual networks while acknowledging their open-endedness [45].

Case Studies of Symbolic Motifs

Modern poetry uses symbolic motifs as vehicles for layered cultural, psychological, and existential commentary. A good example of this is T.S. Eliot's *The Waste Land* (1922), where fragmented symbols such as the Fisher King, tarot cards, and drought-stricken landscapes are used to comment on disillusionment after World War I [50]. Recent scholarship reveals how Eliot employed the Fisher King as an image of the decaying of society and spiritual impotence, indicating the loss of European cultural identity in the aftermath of war [51]. Ariel (1965) by Sylvia Plath turns household objects into visceral metaphors: "bell jar" represents suffocating mental illness, and "bees" patriarchal power and rebirth [52]. Plath's iconography, according to Carter (2022), combines personal trauma with feminist struggles as a universal quest, turning private pain into public critique in a "semiotic battlefield" [53].

Modernist symbolism is seen in Ezra Pound's Cantos

This fuses classical allusions (for example, Odysseus as a wanderer) with political critiques from the contemporary scene. Abbar (2023) claims that the broken symbols of Pound, such as the Chinese ideogram for "sincerity," are a reflection of his belief in cultural synthesis as a cure for Western decadence [54]. In contrast,

Mahmoud Darwish's Palestinian resistance poetry takes the olive tree, a universal symbol of peace, and turns it into a place of anticolonial resistance, showing how modern poets reposition inherited motifs to speak to localized struggles [55].

Traditional vs. Modern Symbols

It was one of the greatest shifts of modern poetry when the symbols turned from being universal to context dependent. Symbols of tradition were there such as roses signifying love and cross representing sacrifice; yet these modernists, especially Eliot, made those meanings fall into fragmentation. For example, the "drowned Phoenician sailor" in *The Waste Land* subverts classical maritime symbolism, turning it into a satire of imperialist exploitation 4. Similarly, in Wallace Stevens's "jar in Tennessee" from *Anecdote of the Jar* (1919), a pastoral vessel has been transformed to symbolize the human imprinting on nature with industrial-era anxiety [56].

Modern day poets like Ocean Vuong exhibit both conventional and modern signs. *Night Sky with Exit Wounds* (2016) has Vuong combining the symbolic meanings of the Buddhist lotus flowers with those of LGBTQ+, so that a "transcultural semiosis" is created, and strict interpretation impossible [57]. This change is evident in Lotman's theory of the semiosphere where signs operate in innovative cultural environments, placing their meanings, borderline as they are through border crossing interactions.

Cultural and Historic Determinants

World Wars I and II irreversibly changed symbolic expression [58]. In the disjointed form and references to crumbling empires, Eliot's *The Waste Land* symbolizes post-war fragmentation, whereas Paul Celan's *Death Fugue*, 1948, uses motifs such as "black milk" to symbolize Holocaust trauma [59]. Symbolism was also reshaped in the Cold War: Adrienne Rich's *Diving into the Wreck* (1973) uses underwater exploration as a metaphor for feminist resistance amid political repression [60].

In non-Western locales, events like the Nigerian Civil War (1967–1970) shaped Chinua Achebe's symbolic landscapes, where rivers stand for life as well as violence unleashed by imperialism [61]. Similarly, the Arab Spring helped poets like Darwish reappropriate classic Arabic motifs, such as the phoenix, to represent revolutionary hope.

Cultural Contexts and Symbolic Exchange

Cross-Cultural Symbolism

Eliot and Pound, among others in Western modernism, drew heavily upon non-Western traditions. Pound's *Cathay* (1915) reimagines Tang Dynasty poetry through Imagist aesthetics, although critics such as Zhao and Flotow (2018) argue that his translations often prioritize rhythmic abstraction over cultural fidelity [62, 63]. Pablo Neruda's *Canto General* (1950) blends indigenous Mapuche symbols with Marxist critiques in a work of resistance Pan-American in scope [64].

However, non-Western poets often reverse colonial symbolism. In *Omeros* (1990), Derek Walcott retells Homeric epics in Caribbean folklore, while Joy Harjo (Muscogee Nation) weaves tribal creation myths into contemporary environmentalism in *An American Sunrise* (2019) [65, 66].

Globalization and Hybrid Symbols

Globalization has also led to the creation of hybrid symbols with no geographical barriers. For instance, *Milk and Honey*, released in 2014, has been a product of Punjabi cultural motifs fused with minimalism of the digital world, while Fatimah Asghar's *If They Come for Us*, published in 2018, juxtaposes Partition-era trauma with queer diasporic identity, which has depicted "glocal" symbolism. In this manner, local stories have had global effects with social media [67]. Critics advise against cultural flattening: Sui (2023) observes that AI-produced poetry often forgets contextual nuance, reducing symbols to algorithmic patterns [68]. However, poets like Kaveh Akbar (*Pilgrim Bell*, 2021) resist this trend by weaving Persian mystical symbols (e.g., the whirling dervish in Sufism) into English verse, thus retaining the spirit of their meanings [69].

Political and Social Movements

Feminist and postcolonial poets arm symbolism as a tool for the deconstruction of oppressive structures. Audre Lorde's *Coal* (1976) retrieves Blackness as a symbol of resilience, while Warsan Shire's *Teaching My Mother How to Give Birth* (2011) uses bodily imagery to critique gendered violence [70]. Postcolonial poets like Agha Shahid Ali (*The Country Without a Post Office*, 1997) repurpose Mughal architecture as symbols of Kashmir's political erasure [71].

Protest poetry also lives online: Amanda Gorman's *The Hill We Climb* (2021) breathes new life into the "hill" as

a trope of communal struggle, speaking to and for whole worlds through viral circulation [72].

Controversies and Criticisms

Authorial Intent and Reader Response

Barthes' "death of the author" (1967) is still very much debated. Although Eliot's footnotes in *The Waste Land* indicate intentionality, post-structuralists argue that meaning is co-created by the reader. For instance, Plath's "Daddy" (1965) is often reinterpreted through intersectional lenses beyond her biographical context, illustrating Barthes' claim that "a text's unity lies not in its origin but in its destination" [73].

However, marginalized authors resist this erasure: Ocean Vuong insists his Vietnamese-American symbols retain specific cultural resonances, challenging universalist readings [74].

Conclusion

Modern poetry's interaction with semiotics and symbolism is an expression of its ability to explore complex identities, cultural changes, and historical traumas. Poets, through fragmented language, evocative motifs, and intertextual allusions, challenge the traditional processes of meaning-making and encourage the reader to engage in multiple interpretations. Critical debates about universality, appropriation, and digital transformations further emphasize the changing role of poetry in contemporary discourse. Future research would delve into interdisciplinary perspectives, global poetic traditions, and reader-response analyses to provide greater insight into the semiotic processes. Finally, poetry will remain a great vehicle for reflecting and reshaping cultural consciousness to be relevant at any time in this changing literary and social landscape.

Limitations and Recommendations

While this review outlines the importance of semiotics and symbolism in modern poetry, there are some limitations that need further examination. To begin with, the paper is basically centered on Western poetic traditions with limited discussion on non-Western and indigenous symbolism. Future research should embrace diverse literary traditions that can provide a more comprehensive view of global poetic semiotics. Further, although this review discusses textual symbolism, it does not critically examine the influence of digital media and visual poetry,

which have introduced new modes of signification. The study of the development of poetry in multimedia platforms and interactive digital spaces may open up new avenues. Furthermore, reader-response perspectives are not adequately explored; empirical studies on how different audiences interpret poetic symbols across cultures would enhance understanding of meaning-making processes. Finally, the confluence of semiotics with emerging fields like ecocriticism, artificial intelligence, and neurasthenics could bring novel frameworks to the analysis of poetry's symbolic depth in a world that is increasingly technological and environmentally conscious.

References

1. Bowcher WL. The semiotic sense of context vs the material sense of context. *Functional Linguistics*. 2018;5:1-19.
2. Adriaensen B, Bricker AB, Godioli A, Laros T. Humor and the Law: Laughter as Critique/The Limits of Laughter. *Law, Culture and the Humanities*. 2023;17438721231177647.
3. Zhou C, Chen N. Filling in the blank: Towards a semiotic account of poetry translation. *Language and Semiotic Studies*. 2023;9(4):461-83.
4. Zhao G. Semiotics East and West: an aesthetic-semiotic approach to translating the iconicity of classical Chinese poetry. *Semiotica*. 2022;2022(244):163-93.
5. Zenkin S. Semiosphere, "Thinking Worlds" and Scientific knowledge (About an idea of Jurij Lotman). *Journal of Studies in Russian Formalism with Translation Notebooks*. 2024;1(1):151-61.
6. Ketkar S. Random Access Macaulayminiutemen: Cultural Semiotics Reading of Indian English Poetry.
7. Singh JK. The Cultural Semiotics of Contemporary Indian Poetry in English—A Study: Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda (India); 2020.
8. Mauro V. Translation as rewriting in the rendering of classical Chinese poetry: Pound's Cathay. *TRANS-KATA: Journal of Language, Literature, Culture and Education*. 2022;2(2):109-18.
9. Lu Z. A response to Stuart Hall: Towards a creative decoding. *Signs and Media*. 2021;2(1-2):23-33.
10. Adesanya AA. The Masked Snap, The Snapped Mask: Mask, Power, and Betrayal in African Cultures. *The Palgrave Handbook of African Oral Traditions and Folklore*. 2021:735-57.
11. Jaber WM, Ali AA. Challenging Patriarchy: Feminist Reading in Select Poems of Adrienne Rich. *Journal of Asian Multicultural Research for Educational Study*. 2023;4(2):32-41.
12. Hasan K. Mythology in modern literature: An exploration of myths and legends in Sylvia Plath's poetry. *International Journal of English Literature and Social Sciences (IJELS)*. 2023;105.
13. Wijana IDP, Mashlihatin A, editors. The Foundation of Poetry Appreciation in Poetry Recitation Videos by Indonesian Language Subject Teachers. *Critical Island Studies 2023 Conference (CISC 2023)*; 2024: Atlantis Press.
14. Basak A. Translating Trauma, Voicing Silence: Paul Celan, the Politics of Language, and the Poetics of Witnessing. 2023.
15. Dosenko A. The phenomenon of the multimodal service media text of the communication platform. *State and regions Series: Social communications*. 2022(4 (48)):97-101.
16. Gobinskaya A. "SHADOW AND BONE": NEGATIVE SPACES OF MEANING. *История: факты и символы*. 2021(3 (28)):94-107.
17. Fu T, Zhao X. Cultural translation in the context of Lotman's cultural semiotics: a case study of the German translation of Six Records of a Floating Life. *Chinese Semiotic Studies*. 2023;19(4):649-63.
18. Ahmed F. Poetry in the Mind: The Cognition of Contemporary Poetic Style. De Gruyter; 2021.
19. Krysa J, Impett L. Rethinking curating in an age of artificial intelligence: The next biennial should be curated by a machine. *Stages*. 2022;9:129-34.
20. Surette L. Deconstruction: A Misprision of Saussure and Charles Sanders Peirce. *Philosophy and Literature*. 2019;43(2):411-40.
21. Lagopoulos AP. A meta-theoretical approach to the history and theory of semiotics. *Semiotica*. 2016;2016(213):1-42.
22. Picione RDL, Marsico G, Tateo L, Valsiner J. Generalized Semiotic Functions of Borders' model: Contributions from cultural psychology, semiotics and

psychoanalysis to-wards the understanding of borders in human experience. *International Journal of Psychoanalysis and Education: Subject, Action & Society*. 2022;2(2):81-111.

23. Jesudas R, Alteib IA, Gayathri S, Riahi H, Mohammed AFA, Alimam SSK. Exploring Roland Barthes's Theoretical Framework in AT Greenblatt's "Give the Family My Love".

24. Almujaalli H. Author, Text, and Writing: Roland Barthes and "The Death of the Author". *Journal of the College of Languages (JCL)*. 2023(48):1-16.

25. Berlanga-Fernández I, Reyes E. The digital approach to semiotics: a systematic review. *Text & Talk*. 2024;44(1):119-40.

26. Nimis SA. Narrative Becoming: Semiotics, Poetics and Prosaics in Ancient Literature. 2023.

27. Alhazmi AA, Kaufmann A. Phenomenological qualitative methods applied to the analysis of cross-cultural experience in novel educational social contexts. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2022;13:785134.

28. Devi M. *Mirroring the Self: Developing Representational Strategies for Lens Based Art*: University of Gloucestershire; 2018.

29. Salvatore S, Valsiner J, Veltri GA. The theoretical and methodological framework. Semiotic cultural psychology, symbolic universes and lines of semiotic forces. Symbolic universes in time of (post) crisis: The future of European societies. 2019:25-49.

30. Salvatore S, Rochira A, Kharlamov N. The embodiment of cultural meanings. Symbolic universes as forms of life. Symbolic Universes in Time of (Post) Crisis: The Future of European Societies. 2019:235-53.

31. Chen S, Zhong Z. Perceiving the poetic world: A corpus-assisted transitivity analysis of poetry comics. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2022;13:1061169.

32. Gitelman L. *Emoji Dick and the Eponymous Whale, An Essay in Four Parts*. Post45: Peer Reviewed.

2018.

33. Sindoni MG, Moschini I. *Multimodal literacies across digital learning contexts*: Taylor & Francis; 2021.

34. Jeffries L. *The language of contemporary poetry*: Springer; 2022.

35. Putri AF, Astriani W, Putra AS. SEMANTIC STUDY OF METAPHORS IN POETRY: LINGUISTIC AND LITERARY APPROACHES. *JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL STUDIES*. 2024;2(03):1109-16.

36. Zlatev J. *Constraining Metaphor and Metonymy in Language and Depiction: A Cognitive Semiotics Approach*. 2024.

37. Moisiuk V, Dziubina O, Liliia S, Sokol M, Konovalchuk S, Rudenko M. The differentiation of metonymy and metaphor concepts in modern linguistics. *Revista de Investigaciones Universidad del Quindío*. 2022;34(S2):144-51.

38. Orvell M. *Empire of Ruins: American Culture, Photography, and the Spectacle of Destruction*: Oxford University Press, USA; 2021.

39. Jiang X, Ma J, Xiao G, Shao Z, Guo X. A review of multimodal image matching: Methods and applications. *Information Fusion*. 2021;73:22-71.

40. Van Praet H. Touching the screen: on visual textuality and knowledge construction in Anne Carson's poetry. *Textual Practice*. 2024;38(10):1660-78.

41. Haber J, Poesio M. Polysemy—Evidence from Linguistics, Behavioral Science, and Contextualized Language Models. *Computational Linguistics*. 2024;50(1):351-417.

42. Amin AJ, Lutfi AF. Phonological Signs and their Interpretation in Dickinson's Mystic Poems. *Journal of Language Studies*. 2021;4(4):495-519.

43. Wąsik Z. Uncovering the two conceptions of the linguistic sign in Saussure's lectures: An epistemological

- inquiry with comments on translational equivalence. *Sign Systems Studies*. 2023;51(3-4):513-37.
44. Afraah S, Suwargono E, Kusumayanti DD. Mental Health Crisis and World Wars: A Semiotic Analysis of Alfred Hayes' Three Selected Poems. *JENTERA: Jurnal Kajian Sastra*. 2023;12(2):339-55.
45. Carter S. *Early Modern Intertextuality*: Springer; 2021.
46. Miles P. Intertextuality and Time-Space In the years since Julia Kristeva introduced the word (or phrase) intertextuality to the discourse on literature, art, and creativity, there has been an arguable shift in thinking about how dynamics relating to semantics and origins relate to the stability and longevity of the text. If anything, Kristeva's contributions to the. *Progressive Intertextual Practice in Modern And Contemporary Literature*. 2024.
47. Sui P. Large language models are post-structuralist intertexts 2023.
48. Więckiewicz-Archacka M. ALL THE POWER IN THE HANDS OF READERS? THE NEGOTIATION OF AUTHOR AND READER ROLES BASED ON EXAMPLES IN THE BLOGOSPHERE. *Civitas et Lex*. 2016;11(3):15-26.
49. Tomilina A, Korniienko V. The problem of the post-structuralist approach for intertextual analysis of text. *Collection of scientific papers «ΛΟΓΟΣ»*. 2023(November 24, 2023; Seoul, South Korea):143-4.
50. Hasan M, Qasm S, Zrar L. Symbolism and Imagism in TS Eliot's "The Waste Land": An Analytical Approach. *Metathesis: Journal of English Language, Literature, and Teaching*. 2022;6(1):28-49.
51. Zhang Q. The Modernity of TS Eliot's The Waste Land from the Perspective of Image. *Communications in Humanities Research*. 2023;3(1):1.
52. Ehsan B. Reimagining Femininity: Sylvia Plath's Poetic Odyssey into the Realms of Gender Identity, Fluidity and Intersectionality. *Creative Saplings*. 2024;3(6):64-75.
53. Braun A. *Motherhood and Creativity in Contemporary Self-Life Writing: Writers and Mothers*: Taylor & Francis; 2024.
54. Lei J. *Mastery of Words and Swords: Negotiating Intellectual Masculinities in Modern China, 1890s–1930s*: Hong Kong University Press; 2021.
55. Daghamin R. Understanding the Poetics of Resistance and the Politics of Existence: A Comparative Study of African American and Palestinian Poetic Traditions. *The International Journal of Literary Humanities*. 2024;22(3):155.
56. Gillard W, Reitter J, Stauffer R. *Speculative Modernism: How Science Fiction, Fantasy and Horror Conceived the Twentieth Century*: McFarland; 2021.
57. Nizarudeen U. Filling the Silver Dots: Cognitive Militarism, Populist Healing Practices, and the Rise of the Neo-Gestalt Guru. *Globalization and Sense-Making Practices*: Routledge India; 2024. p. 80-90.
58. Johnstone P, Schot J. Shocks, institutional change, and sustainability transitions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2023;120(47):e2206226120.
59. Abbar EM. A Critical Analysis of Symbolism in Modernist Literature with reference to TS Eliot and Roland Barthes. *South Asian Res J Art Lang Lit*. 2023;5(4):138-42.
60. de Rosnay EF, Ioffe D, Rowe S. Symbolism overview. *Routledge encyclopedia of modernism*: Routledge; 2018.
61. Firew S. *The Nigeria-Biafra War: Looking to Art to Interpret Realities*. 2022.
62. Xiao S. *Two English-language Translators of Jin Ping Mei: From Lotus to Plum*: Taylor & Francis; 2024.
63. Dong F. The Poetic Pendulum: Valéry and Modern American Poetry. *Journal of Modern Literature*. 2020;43(4):170-6.
64. Yankes WA. *Requiem for a National Wound in*

Three Dictatorship Novels Underscoring Sovereignty of the Self by Chile's Fernando Alegria: UC Irvine; 2018.

65. Nixon J. "[E]ither I'm nobody, or I'm a nation": Home, History, and the Diasporic Transnational in Derek Walcott's *Omeros*. *Becoming Home: Diaspora and the Anglophone Transnational*. 2022:157.

66. Brígido-Corachán AM. Indigenous Homelands and Global Refugees: Unpacking Joy Harjo's Solidary Poetics in *An American Sunrise*. *Western American Literature*. 2024;59(2):125-52.

67. Golob E. Sweet as honey and beautiful as a flower?: an ecofeminist approach to nature symbolism in Rupi Kaur's poetry milk and honey and the sun and her flowers/vorgelegt von Eden Golob. 2020.

68. Danesi M. *AI-Generated Popular Culture: A Semiotic Perspective*: Springer Nature; 2024.

69. Schatzman G. Kaveh Akbar: Pilgrim Bell. *World Literature Today*. 2021;95(3):95-6.

70. Shire W. *Teaching my mother how to give birth*. (No Title). 2011.

71. Reshamwala ZR. *The Orient in the Empire's Poetry: Scholarship, Translation, and Imaginative Geography (1770-1857)*: University of Denver; 2021.

72. Dlugosz M. Never Let a Diplodocus Draw. *The Catholic Library World*. 2024;94(3):207-8.

73. BELARBI R, LAOUFI S. *The Female Position in The Bell Jar by Sylvia Plath*: UNIVERSITY OF AIN TEMOUCHEM; 2024.

74. Nguyen-Vo T-H. *Almost Futures: Sovereignty and Refuge at World's End*: Univ of California Press; 2024.